



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/650,834	05/20/1996	STEPHEN C. WREN	WR-6	3077

7590 11/27/2006

John Henry Muettert  
7796 S. Datura St.  
Littleton, CO 80120

EXAMINER
----------

ZURITA, JAMES H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3625

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20061122

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

see attached interview summary

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 08/650,834	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WREN, STEPHEN C.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James H. Zurita	<b>Art Unit</b> 3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) James H. Zurita. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Stephen Wren. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 22 November 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

James Zurita, Primary Examiner  
 Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

##### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Wren left a message for me to call him, I called him at 1045am. we discussed the appeal. I told Mr. Wren I wanted to make sure he's clear about the requirements for the brief. I asked Mr. Wren if he understands why the brief he has filed is defective.

I advised Mr. Wren as to what PTO wants and what is needed to forward brief to PBAI. I informed him that he needs to file a substitute appeal brief by COB Friday, Dec. 1 2006, by filing via fax to official number and courtesy copy to me. I advised him:

I don't want to see the appeal dismissed and I would like to save the application from being abandoned.

If the next brief is not satisfactory, we will send out fourth notice of defective brief.

He's running the risk that the appeal may be dismissed and the case will become abandoned.

I am doing this as a courtesy, so PBAI can decide his case.

I informed him how he has not fixed the brief, and that the MPEP says he must do this, and deviations are not acceptable. I advised him he must comply with the rules. What we have now violates the rules. I advised Mr. Wren that he and his attorneys are expected to know the rules and that one must comply with the rules.

I told him I would point out some specific problems identified. The most egregious include:

(3) status of claims.

Claims 117-120 were withdrawn by consideration by election without traverse of 02/25/05.

Examiner made the requirement on 25 January 2005.

Withdrawal was confirmed in Final Office Action of 07/18/05, p.2.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter is incomplete

Mr. Wren left out claim 139 (see p. 5 of 08/08/06 Brief).

Currently, the contents of section 5 are not summaries.

For each claim, Mr. Wren must identify each element.

Claim 108 has means plus function language. I advised Mr. Wren to check what they are.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be reviewed on Appeal. I asked Mr. Wren to remove editorial content, arguments.

(8) Claims Appendix (pp. 42-59) . I advised Mr. Wren must identify all claims that have ever been presented in the application and that Mr. Wren must provide the status of each (cancelled, withdrawn, etc. See MPEP)

Appendix A - has errors. p. 61, support in the instant application refers to page 8, line 24. page 8 only has 23 lines. I advised Mr. Wren that he amended the appendix (incorrectly), but forgot to change section (5) summary. I reiterated that the instant application does not have more than 24 lines per page. Therefore any cite to more than 24 lines is wrong.

Mr. Wren advised me that he has retained counsel and they will fix the brief. In response to my query, Mr. Wren said he had faxed a change of address form.

I reiterated that while I have pointed out various errors, he and his attorney are responsible for making sure that the brief is presentable to the PBAI.

At the end of the interview, I reiterated that I wanted to make sure he's clear about the requirements for the brief.

I again advised Mr. Wren as to what PTO wants and what is needed to forward brief to PBAI. I again informed him that he needs to file a substitute appeal brief by COB Friday, Dec. 1 2006, by filing via fax to official number to me.

I again advised him:

I don't want to see the appeal dismissed and I would like to save the application from being abandoned.

If the next brief is not satisfactory, we will send out fourth notice of defective brief.

He's running the risk that the appeal may be dismissed and the case will become abandoned.

I am doing this as a courtesy, so PBAI can decide his case.

I again advised him he must comply with the rules. I again advised Mr. Wren that he and his attorneys are expected to know the rules and that one must comply with the rules.

*James Lunde*  
*Primary Examiner*